

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | . | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/717,929 | 09/717,929 11/21/2000 | | Nripjeet Singh Josan | 05MV1121 | 9785 |
| 34225 | 7590 | 06/03/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| UNISYS (| | OAD ME400 | BADERMAN, SCOTT T | | |
| MISSION | | ROAD, MS400 A 92691 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | ,,,,,, | | | 2113 | / |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004 | , <i>b</i> |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | R | 29 | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|----|--|--|--|--|
| 4 | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| | | 09/717,929 | JOSAN ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Scott T Baderman | 2113 | | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| THE MAI - Extensions after SIX (i - If the period - If NO period - Failure to I Any reply | TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. so fitime may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. and for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply bot for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, received by the Office later than three months after the mailing tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Re: | sponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 No</u> | ovember 2000. | | | | | | |
| 2a) <u> </u> | s action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3)∭ Sin | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| clos | sed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | i3 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition | of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Cla | nim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) | Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | n from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) <u></u> Cla | nim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Cla | nim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7)∭ Cla | nim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8)☐ Cla | tim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application | Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) <u></u> The | specification is objected to by the Examine | 1. | | | | | | |
| • | drawing(s) filed on <u>21 November 2000</u> is/ai | | ed to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| App | olicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Rep | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| • | oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | · | | | | | | |
| Priority unde | er 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| • | nowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| _ | NI b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | . h h | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | N I | | | | | |
| 2 | | , , | | | | | | |
| ა.∟ | Copies of the certified copies of the prior | • | o in this National Stage | | | | | |
| * Saa | application from the International Bureau the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed of the attached detailed of the attached detailed of the attached detailed of the attached detailed of the attached detailed of the attached detailed detailed of the attached detailed det | , | .d | | | | | |
| 366 | the attached detailed Office action for a list | or the certified copies not receive | u. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | |
| | References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of | Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | ate | | | | | |
| | on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) (s)/Mail Date <u>5</u> . | 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2113

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Vert et al. (2001/0008019).

As in claims 1 and 8, Vert discloses a computer system (and computer-implemented method) including at least two server nodes, wherein each execute clustered server software, and wherein the computer system provides a transition from a first one of the server nodes to a second one of the server nodes (page 1: paragraph 4, page 3: paragraphs 25 and 26). Vert also discloses that in response to a request for the transition, a thread is initiated for effecting the transition from the first server node to the second server node (page: paragraph 4). Vert also discloses determining if a shared resource is owned by the second node, and if not, calling a driver to enable functionality of the transition, in which the transition sets up the shared resource access to the second server node. That is, Vert discloses that a group (collection of resources) can be shared by other systems in the cluster (upon failover), and further discloses that a failover

Art Unit: 2113

manager is responsible for deciding which systems in the cluster should "own" which groups (resources) (page 3: paragraph 29, page 4: paragraph 30). Thus, when the system in the cluster is selected to "own" the group, access to the group is set up for that system in the cluster.

As in claims 2 and 9, Vert discloses counting the number of resources that have transitioned. That is, Vert clearly discloses that one of the benefits of the cluster system is that workloads can be balanced among different servers in the cluster to improve performance (page 1: paragraph 3). Based on this teaching and the failover teaching above, it is implied that if a system in the cluster that takes over a group (collection of resources) from a failed system is overloaded, then that system can balance its workload among different servers in the cluster. In order to determine the workload of the system, the resources that it is taking over (transitioned) must be counted.

As in claims 3 and 10, Vert discloses wherein the transition occurs when the first server has failed and the resource is brought online on the second server (i.e., failover) (page 3: paragraph 29, page 4: paragraphs 30, 37 and 38, page 5: paragraphs 41-43).

As in claims 4 and 11, Vert discloses wherein the transition occurs when a server becomes active following a failure and the resource is brought online on the first server and offline on the second server (i.e., failback) (page 5: paragraphs 41-44).

Art Unit: 2113

Page 4

As in claims 5 and 12, Vert discloses wherein the transition occurs in response to a selection by a user (page 1: paragraph 4, page 5: paragraph 42).

As in claims 6 and 13, Vert discloses wherein the transition occurs in response to the user (administrator) selection to that the resource is brought online on the second server (i.e., failover) (page 1: paragraph 4, page 3: paragraph 29, page 4: paragraphs 30, 37 and 38, page 5: paragraphs 41-43).

As in claims 7 and 14, Vert discloses wherein the transition occurs in response to the user (administrator) selection so that the resource is brought online on the first server and offline on the second server (i.e., failback) (page 5: paragraphs 41-44).

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

See Form PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott T Baderman whose telephone number is (703) 305-4644. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:45 AM-4:15 PM, first Fridays off.

Art Unit: 2113

7,929 Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (703) 305-9713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott T Baderman Primary Examiner Art Unit 2113

STB